

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DV 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/878,551	06/11/2001	Naftali Sauerbrun	71403-243311	2872
7:	590 08.14.2002			
Charanjit Brahma			EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP			MENON, KRISHNAN S	
Suite 2800			, ,	
725 South Figu	ieroa Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, C	CA 90017-5443		L	
			1723	1L

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Tr23 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply is specified above; the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133) Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 2,3 and 33-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 33-48 is/are rejected.
Fishnan S Menon Tr23
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above. the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2.3 and 33-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are rejected.
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2.3 and 33-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are rejected.
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 11 June 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2.3 and 33-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are rejected.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2,3 and 33-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are rejected.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2,3 and 33-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are rejected.
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2,3 and 33-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are rejected.
 4) Claim(s) 2,3 and 33-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are rejected.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>33-48</u> is/are rejected.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-48 is/are rejected.
6)☑ Claim(s) <u>33-48</u> is/are rejected.
·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeytines. See 8. 9. 11. The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) _ approved b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/878,551

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2 and 3, drawn to the product, classified in class 210, subclass 493.1.
- II. Claims 33-48, drawn to method of manufacturing, classified in class 29, subclass 896.62.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions group I and group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Attorney Eric Chen, registration number 43542, of Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro, LLP, on 8/7/02 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 33-48. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim2 and 3 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

. Application/Control Number: 09/878,551

Art Unit: 1723

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 33-48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Storms (US4,169,059).

Storms (059) discloses a method of making sintered metal fiber filters comprising compressing and heat treating uniformly distributed metal fibers to form a mat (col 3: 20-34; col 4: 1-4); creating a filter by pleating the mat, forming the pleated mat to a cylinder and joining the ends by welding (as in claim 35, 43) (col 4: 4-7); coupling the filter thus formed with the end-caps, and then finally sintering the filter with end caps to form the sinter bonds (col 4: 8-32). Storms (059) discloses use of backing screens with the metal fibril mat (as in claim 36,37,41,44,45) (col 4:1-4); multiple layers with different types (as in claims 39, 40, 47, 48) (col 3: 57-63; col 4: 1-8); coupling the welding rings (as in claim 34, 42) (col 4: 33-47); and the metals are selected from stainless steel, Ni, Hastalloy, etc. (as in claims 38, 46) (col 3: 4-8). The repair of the broken bonds (instant claim 31) would be an inherent property of the subsequent sintering process. In re King, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bergman (US 4,687,579) discloses a filter cartridge having sintered metal fibrils with quartz fibrils, where in he points out sinter bond breaking when bent, and the need for a final sintering. Quick (US 6,096,212) discloses a method for making fiber mat filter cartridges with metal

• Application/Control Number: 09/878,551

Art Unit: 1723

fibers in more than one sintering step. Hartman (US 4,218,324) discloses stainless steel fiber filter

cartridge making with and without stainless steel screens in a two step sintering process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 703-305-5999. The examiner

can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and

703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Krishnan S. Menon Patent Examiner August 8, 2002

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4